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Abstract

In the present study the bacteria were isolated from sputum samples of patients suffering from respiratory tract infection. These virulent bacteria were checked for antibiotic resistance. All antibiotic resistant bacteria were biochemically characterized at genus level (Streptococcus sp. and Pseudomonas sp.). Three bacterial strains were confirmed by ribotyping at species level named Bacillus cereus, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas putida. The Results indicated that all the strains were highly resistant against Cloxacillin, Amoxyllin/Claivalanic acid, Oxacillin, Cefepime and Ampicillin. Streptococcus sp. and Pseudomonas sp. were also having resistance potential against Penicillin. Penicillin is not effective against Pseudomonas sp. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBC) were also checked against azithromycine, doxycycline, cephalaxin, cefepime, tetracycline, gentamicin and amikacin for all bacterial species. The highest MIC of Bacillus cereus was observed as 78.1µg/ml against Gentamicin. Like Bacillus cereus, Klebsiella pneumoniae was also having highest MIC 78.1µg/ml against Gentamicin. 48.8µg/ml tetracycline was examined the higher MIC for Pseudomonas putida. The MBC against antibiotics were also observed for bacterial strains and most of these MIC values were unchanged while others were high. From this study, it was concluded that the antibiotic resistant bacteria were progressively increased due to continuous exposure to same antibiotics. This increase of MIC and MBC of various antibiotics against bacterial species was seemed due to their higher drug resistance potential.
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INTRODUCTION

Any infections of sinuses, throat, airways or lungs are collectively called respiratory tract infection (RTI). RTI is commonly found in children than in adults as they have not yet developed immunity against many of these infectious agents Frankard et al., 2004). Globally, five million deaths are estimated every year due to RTIs from which 10 to 15 percent deaths are caused by lower respiratory tract infections. There are many infectious diseases of upper or lower respiratory tract, either in acute or chronic form. RTIs are generally divided as infections of upper and lower respiratory tract (Farrell, 2004). Upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs) affect nose, sinuses, throat and include common cold, acute otitis, rhinosinusitis, pharyngitis/tonsillitis, rhinitis and laryngitis whereas airways and lungs are affected in lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs) which include pneumonia, acute trachei
tis, bronchitis and bronchiolitis (Riley and Riley, 2003). The lower airways diseases such as bronchiectasis and COPD, the isolation of bacteria such as *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Pseudomonas* species in sputum samples by culture is not uncommon. While these pathogens are often associated with exacerbations, they are also often present during stable phase of the airways disease indicating chronic colonization. The isolation of bacterial pathogens in chronic asthma by culture remains understudied. In a report, 27% of asthmatic patients presenting with an exacerbation of asthma had bacteria in sputum with *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Moraxella catarrhalis* and *Haemophilus influenzae* (Cazzol et al., 1991).

An X-Ray analysis of thoracic region suggests respiratory infection while sputum culture characterizes the responsible microbial agent. Viruses are a major cause of such infections but bacterial infections are also common. Culture of sputum shows variety of pathogenic bacterial strains responsible for some particular diseases like *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is the most effective pathogen causing bacterial pneumonia, other pathogenic bacteria include *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterobacter* sp., *E. coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Legionella pneumophila*, and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Various pseudomonas species are also pathogenic such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas savastanoi* that cause infection in urinary system, respiratory system and nervous system (Yang et al., 2004).

Antibiotics are used against infectious diseases caused by bacteria while have no effect on viruses. Antibiotics interrupt bacterial growth by hindering one of its vital processes necessary for the survival of bacteria, such as synthesis of cell wall. Antimicrobial resistance is not modern because the various drug resistance micro-organism were found throughout the world but the breadth of drug resistance in micro-organisms are unpredicted and mounting (Levy, 2003).

In present study, main focus was to screen pathogenic bacteria from respiratory tract infections. Also to check the antibiotic resistance of these bacterial pathogens. MIC and MBC of antibiotics against these pathogens were also studied.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample Collection**

Study samples (sputum) were collected from outdoor and indoor patients suffering from respiratory tract infection by coughing out sputum sample from Ganga Ram Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan. Sputum sample was taken early morning before taking meal in sterilized eppendorf to avoid contamination (Paggiaro et al., 2002). Sputum samples were transferred to the microbiology laboratory, G.C. University Lahore, Pakistan.

**Bacterial Isolation**

Sputum sample was inoculated on MacConkey agar, Blood agar and Chocolate agar with sterile platinum wire loop and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hours. Growth of various microbial colonies were seen, after overnight incubation. The morphology of different colonies were isolated inoculating loop and then streaked on fresh agar plates to get pure culture of bacterial strains.

**Morphological and Biochemical Analysis**

The isolated bacteria were characterized by performing various morphological and biochemical tests. Gram Staining, Endospore Staining and Motility test were performed for morphological analysis, while Catalase, Urease, Gelatin, Litmus milk, Triple sugar iron (TSI), Citrate, Oxidase, Indole, Hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) production, Blood agar and MacConkey agar tests were selected for biochemical analysis of bacterial isolates. All tests were performed according to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. In this work, all the antibiotic resistance bacterial isolates were biochemically characterized by utilizing Bergey's manual key and three of them confirmed by ribotyping include *Bacillus cereus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas putida*. Ribotyping technique has been commonly utilized for identification and confirmation of bacterial species among vast diversity of bacteria. This technique includes DNA isolation (Sambrook et al., 1989), gel electrophoresis, PCR product base sequencing (Katarzyna and Piotr, 2008).

**Assessment of Antibiotic Resistant Bacterial Isolates**

The resistance or sensitivity of bacterial isolates was checked against a group of broad-spectrum antibiotics (AZM15mcg, DOX30mcg, CLX5mcg, CEP30mcg, OXA10mcg, CEF30mcg, AMP10mcg, TET30mcg and PEN10mcg) by following Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. Growth inhibition zones appeared after overnight incubation of bacterial strains with antibiotic discs and calculated.

**Molecular Characterization**

Chloroform/Phenol DNA Extraction method was used for the extraction of bacterial genomic DNA with minor adjustments (Sambrook, et al., 1989). For gene amplification, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) was performed.
to amplify the specific sequence of DNA. The aim of PCR was to obtain numerous copies of specific region of ribosomal RNA gene. Invitrogen Platinum® PCR SuperMix HighFidelity (Cat. No. 12532_016, size: 100 reactions) was used for gene amplification which had Recombinant Taq DNA Polymerase, Taq antibody, 66mM tris-SO₄ (pH 8.9), 19.8Mm (NH₄)SO₄, 2.4mM MgSO₄, 220µM dNTPs, and stabilizer. This mixture was helpful for the amplification of large ranged DNA template sized upto 15kb genomic DNA. For 16S ribosomal RNA gene amplification universal primers were used (i.e. 27F sequence 5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3' and 1522R sequence 5'-AAGGAGGTGATCCAGCCGCA-3'). Thermocycler (PROGENE, Tech) was adjusted for initial denaturation at 94˚C for 2 minutes, melting at 94˚C and annealing at 55˚C, primer extension at 72˚C, each with 60 seconds, and 35 cycles of PCR were performed. Final extension was done at 72˚C for 5 minutes and end storage was adjusted to 4˚C for maximum period of time. Gel electrophoresis was performed for the quantification and separation of particular DNA band. For gene clean, Invitrogen DNA by life technologies, pure link™, quick gel extraction kit was used.

**Sequencing PCR-Fragment (Purified)**

After Gene Clean, PCR-Fragments were carried to Centre for Advanced Molecular Biology (CAMB), University Of Punjab, Lahore for sequencing.

**Sequence Analysis**

Sequence results from CAMB were analyzed using BLAST, National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) for molecular characterization. The entire genome shotgun sequence was applied for analysis.

**Measurement of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)**

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration was observed in which minimum amount of antibiotics that can inhibit the visible growth of microbial agents are calculated (Andrews, 2006). Bacteria were inoculated in broth culture and incubated at 37˚C overnight. The culture was diluted to prepare inoculums of about 10⁵-10⁶ colony forming unit in each milliliter.

**Method of Antibiotic Dilution**

Stock solutions of antibiotics were prepared by dissolving a considerable amount of antibiotic in respective solvent to get stock solution. Two fold dilution methods were utilized to obtain various dilute concentrations of each antibiotic as indicated in table 3.2. 17 autoclaved glass test tubes were placed in a rack and then 15 test tubes were labeled by numbers from 1 to 15. However, the first one was labeled as AC (Antibiotic Control) and last one mentioned as GC (Growth Control). One ml of nutrient broth was poured in each glass tube and then one ml of antibiotic solution was mixed in all test tubes except GC tube. After mixing, 1ml mixture was transferred from tube number one to tube number 2 by using micropipette and sterile tips. Next, the same method was repeated to transfer one ml media from next to next till tube number 15 by using new tip for each dilution. At the end, one ml of mixture from tube number 15 was removed and discarded. The G.C tube served as growth control because it received no antibacterial agent while first tube consider as Antibiotic Control. After it, one ml of broth culture of particular microbial isolate was inoculated in each tube except A.C tube. Thus, the final concentration of antibiotic in these test tubes was diluted to half of the initial concentration because of mixing of equal volume of inoculums in Broth and shown in table 3.3 (a) and (b). Then tubes were incubated in incubator at 37˚C for overnight. Next day, the visible growth (i.e. turbidity) in the tubes was observed as bacterial growth and the tubes showed no turbidity considered as MIC for the respective antibiotic (Kowser and Fatema, 2009).

**Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) Measurement**

A nutrient agar plate was prepared to find MBC of each antibiotic. After it, fifty micro liter inoculum was taken with the help of micropipette every time with new sterile tip from each test tube that showed minimum inhibitory concentration as well as the lower concentration. Then, the inoculums was poured and spread into nutrient agar plates. The plates were placed in incubator at 37˚C overnight. Next day, MBC was observed as a lowest concentration at which no bacterial growth was found on agar plates (Betts, et al., 2012).

**RESULTS**

Gram staining showed that MS1 and MS2 antibiotic resistant bacteria were Gram positive. These test also revealed that MS1 had spores while MS1, MS3 and MS5 were motile as indicated in table 1.

**Assessment of Antibiotic Resistant Bacterial Isolates**

The Antibiotic sensitivity test indicated that MS1 (*Bacillus*...
Table 1. Morphological traits of bacterial isolates from respiratory tract infection (Sputum)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial Isolates</th>
<th>Gram staining</th>
<th>Endospore staining</th>
<th>Motility reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS1</td>
<td>Gram +ve</td>
<td>Spore (+ve)</td>
<td>Motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2</td>
<td>Gram +ve</td>
<td>Lack spore (-ve)</td>
<td>None motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3</td>
<td>Gram -ve</td>
<td>Lack spore (-ve)</td>
<td>Motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4</td>
<td>Gram -ve</td>
<td>Lack spore (-ve)</td>
<td>None motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS5</td>
<td>Gram -ve</td>
<td>Lack spore (-ve)</td>
<td>Motile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Biochemical characterization of bacterial isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemical tests</th>
<th>MS1</th>
<th>MS2</th>
<th>MS3</th>
<th>MS4</th>
<th>MS5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catalase Test</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urease Test</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin Test</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litmus Milk test</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Sugar Iron Test</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate Test</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidase Test</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indole Test</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen sulphide production Test</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Agar Test</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacConkey Agar Test</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate Fermentation Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>A (+ve)</td>
<td>A (-ve)</td>
<td>A (-ve)</td>
<td>A/G (+ve)</td>
<td>A (-ve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>A (+ve)</td>
<td>A/G (+ve)</td>
<td>A (-ve)</td>
<td>A/G (+ve)</td>
<td>A (-ve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>A/G (-ve)</td>
<td>A/G (+ve)</td>
<td>A (-ve)</td>
<td>A (+ve)</td>
<td>A (-ve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyle Red and Voges Proskuer Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyle Red</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voges Proskuer</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial Genus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Antibiogram of antibiotics was shown against particular bacterial isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial isolates</th>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Antiobiogram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS1</td>
<td>AZM&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, DOX&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CLO&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CEP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, OXA&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CEF&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, AMP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, TET&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, PEN&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2</td>
<td>AZM&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, DOX&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CLO&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CEP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, OXA&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CEF&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, AMP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, TET&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, PEN&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3</td>
<td>AZM&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, DOX&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CLO&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CEP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, OXA&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CEF&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, AMP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, TET&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, PEN&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4</td>
<td>AZM&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, DOX&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CLO&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CEP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, OXA&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CEF&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, AMP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, TET&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, PEN&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS5</td>
<td>AZM&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, DOX&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CLO&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CEP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, OXA&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, CEF&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, AMP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, TET&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, PEN&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ‘S’ stands for Sensitive while ‘R’ for Resistance, AZM (Azithromycin), DOX (Doxycycline), CLO (Cloxacillin), CEP (Cephalexin), OXA (Oxacillin), CEF (Cefepime), AMP (Ampicillin), TET (Tetracycline), PEN (Penicillin)

Cereus), MS2 (Streptococcus sp.), MS3 (Pseudomonas sp.), MS4 (Klebsiella pneumoniae) and MS5 (Pseudomonas putida) were sensitive to Azithromycin (AZM15), Doxycycline (DOX30) and Tetracycline (TET30). MS1 and MS4 bacterial strains were also sensitive to antibiotics Cephalexin (CEP30) and penicillin (PEN10), While MS2 and MS4 to Cephallexin (CEP30). All the concerned strains including Bacillus cereus, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas putida and Streptococcus sp. showed complete resistance against Cloxacillin (CLO5), Amoxyl-lin/Clavulanic acid (AMC30), Oxacillin (OXA10), Cefepime (CEF30) and Ampicillin (AMP10). MS2 were again showed resistance to Penicillin (PEN10) while MS3 were resistance Cephallexin (CEP30) and Penicillin (PEN10) as represented in table 3.
Figure 1. Isolated DNA bands of bacterial isolates after agarose gel electrophoresis

Figure 2. Amplified PCR products (16s rDNA) of MS1 (Bacillus cereus), MS4 (Klebsiella pneumoniae) and MS5 (Pseudomonas putida) bacterial isolates

Table 4. Molecular characterization of antibiotic resistance bacterial isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial isolate</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS1</td>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4</td>
<td>Klebsiella pneumoniae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS5</td>
<td>Pseudomonas putida</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Molecular Characterization of Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria

The extracted chromosomal DNA of antibiotic resistance bacterial isolates revealed that chloroform/phenol DNA extraction method was highly suitable and effective because it gave high DNA concentrations as shown in figure 1. The Invitrogen Platinum® superMix kit and both the primers were observed to be reliable and effective for target DNA amplification as shown in figure 2. The 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis of antibiotic resistance bacterial isolate indicated that MS1 bacterial strain was 99% similar to species Bacillus cereus while MS4 was 98% related to bacterial species Klebsiella pneumoniae. Similarly MS5 shared close resemblance with Pseudomonas putida species as shown in table 4.

Optimum Growth Conditions

The results indicated that the optimum temperature was 37°C for molecularly identified bacteria such as Bacillus cereus, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas putida (Figure 3). Similarly the optimum pH ranged between 7-8 pH for same bacterial species (Figure 4).
Comparison of MICs and MBCs

The values of MIC and MBC of respective antibiotics were compared for each bacterial isolate. The MBC of Cefepime, Gentamicin and Amikacin were higher than MIC against Bacillus cereus. For Bacillus cereus, the values of MICs and MBCs were same for Azithromycine (24.4µg/ml), Doxycycline (48.8µg/ml), Cephalexin (56.4µg/ml) and Tetracycline (4.8µg/ml) (Figure 5). The MBC of Cephalexin antibiotic was high than MIC for Klebsiella pneumoniae. But MIC and MBC of antibiotics like Azithromycin, Doxycycline, Cefepime, Tetracycline, Gentamicin and Amikacin were observed similar that was 34.8µg/ml, 54.4µg/ml, 48.8µg/ml, 32µg/ml, 78.1µg/ml and...
Figure 5. Comparison of MIC and MBC values of various antibiotics against MS1 (*Bacillus cereus*) bacterial isolate

Figure 6. Comparison of MIC and MBC values of various antibiotics against MS4 (*Klebsiella pneumoniae*) bacterial isolate

Figure 7. Comparison of MIC and MBC values of various antibiotics against MS5 (*Pseudomonas putida*) bacterial isolate
6µg/ml respectively, as indicated in Figure 6. The values of MBC of Azithromycin, Cephalexin, Cefepime, Tetracycline, Gentamicin and Amikacin were higher than MICs against Pseudomonas putida but it had similar MBC and MIC for Doxycycline (24.4µg/ml) as shown in Figure 7.

DISCUSSION

In this research work, the pathogenic antibiotic resistant bacterial isolates, such Bacillus cereus, Streptococcus sp., Pseudomonas sp., Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas putida, were isolated from sputum samples that caused infectious diseases in lungs. These results have also been reported by Ravi et al., (2010).

The genetic evaluation of bacterial chromosomal DNA by ribotyping has now been additional screening power over morphological and biochemical characterization. Moreover, it also differentiates between members of the same species and classified at sub-species level.

The optimum growth temperature for molecularly identified antibiotic resistance bacterial isolates was 37°C and optimum pH was ranged 7-8. The results regarding to these growth conditions were well documented by various researchers (Joseph, 2008).

In the present work, it was observed that Bacillus cereus isolates were quite susceptible to certain β-lactams and macrolids such as penicillin, Cephalexin, Azithromycin, Doxycycline and Tetracycline. Moreover, B. cereus showed resistance against Cloxacillin, Aminoxyl/Clavulanic acid, Oxacillin, Cefepime and Ampicillin. Similarly, literature revealed that various Bacillus cereus strains were sensitive to Doxycycline and Tetracycline (Ravi et al., 2010). Other authors also documented in their studies that B. cereus isolates had become resistant against certain β-lactam antibiotics like Ampicillin, Cephalotin, Oxacillin and Streptomycin (Yehia et al., 2008; Behera, 2010).

In this study, the Streptococcus sp. isolates were confirmed as pathogenic, Gram positive, spherical and non motile antibiotic resistant bacteremia. These results were comparable to the publication of “The center for food security and public health” in 2005. Chiou and Hseih (2003) were also investigated that Streptococcus sp. was a serious infectious agent of bacterial meningitis, sinusitis, pneumonia and otitis media in the children.

The results regarding to drug resistance in recent work, the Streptococcus sp. were showed resistance to Ampicillin, Aminoxyl/Clavulanic acid, Cloxacillin, Cefepime, Oxacillin and Penicillin. Similarly, Multi-Drug resistant Streptococcus sp. had long been reported as major contributor of respiratory infections in young and adults worldwide. But the antibiotic drug resistance has increased tremendously in Streptococcus sp. and become a big threat to health issues (Farrell and Felmingham, 2004). Especially, these occur for macrolides and β-lactams which are prescribed as first-line antimicrobial agents against streptococcal infections in France and Asia (Song et al., 2004).

In present work, Pseudomonas sp. was proved as Gram negative, motile but non-spore forming and highly virulent bacterial isolate. According to Christopher et al. (2011), Pseudomonas sp. was frequently involve in respiratory tract infection and can be isolated from sputum samples.

Like other bacterial strains, Pseudomonas sp. was observed resistant to Cloxacillin, Aminoxyl/Clavulanic acid, Oxacillin, Cefepime, Ampicillin, Cephalexin and Penicillin in the present study as reported by Marchandin et al. (2000). This multiple antibiotic resistance was usually concerned with the synthesis of β-lactamases enzymes (Senda et al., 1996). Pseudomonas sp. was also reported by several authors for transferring antibiotic resistance capability to other bacterial species because their plasmid contains drug resistance genes (Agodi et al., 1995).

In this research work, it was also investigated that Klebsiella pneumoniae were Gram negative, rod shape, non motile and lack of spores forming capability (Amin et al., 2009). Many bacterial strains of Klebsiella sp. were virulent that causes infectious diseases in man and frequently treated by prescribing β-lactams. The basic antibiotic Drug-Resistance mechanism in Klebsiella pneumoniae to broad spectrum cephalosporin and penicillin depend on the synthesis of enzymes β-lactamases, because of this resistance, alternative antibiotics were used such as quinolone and aminoglycosides for treatment. The results of this study also revealed that the reproductive capabilities of genus Klebsiella isolates were not inhibited by Cloxacillin, Aminoxyl/Clavulanic acid, Oxacillin, Cefepime and Ampicillin because they were highly resistance to these antimicrobial agents (Amin et al., 2009).

Zuberbuhler and Carifi (2012) were, similar to the results of present work, investigated that Pseudomonas putida was pathogenic, Gram negative, motile and non-spore forming antibiotic resistance microbial strain. Previously, Blazevic et al. (1973) believed that Pseudomonas putida bacterial species were less virulent than other Pseudomonas sp. and had very less importance in clinical trials. According to Seong et al. (2012), the death rate due to pneumonia caused by P. putida isolate was increased from thirteen percent (13%) to forty percent (40%). Instead of all these facts, as P. putida were less virulent but this microbe possessed high antibiotic susceptibility compared with Pseudomonas sp. So, it was cleared that its effect on increased mortality rate is due to its high resistance against antimicrobial drugs (Almuzara et al., 2007). From this work, it was clarified that P. putida isolates were showed resistance against Cloxacillin, Aminoxyl/Clavulanic acid, Oxacillin, Cefepime and Ampicillin.

In this present research, the minimum inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics include Azithromycine, Doxycyc
This study gave a valuable knowledge about the drug resistance capability of *Bacillus cereus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas putida* against various antibiotics. From this study, it was also concluded that MBC and MIC values varies among bacterial species against particular antibiotic (Adegoke et al., 2010).

**CONCLUSION**

From present work it was concluded that the rate of respiratory infections is increased due to bacterial infections. Also antibiotic resistance in bacteria has progressively increased due to misuse and overuse of antibiotics. In this study, the increase in value of MIC and MBC of various antibiotics against bacterial species reflected the higher drug resistance potential of these bacterial pathogens. So there is need to control the bacterial contamination and improve hygienic conditions especially in countries like Pakistan where health problems are major issue.
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